

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/083,110	02/27/2002		Wilfried Jud	ATM-2215	4881
7590 05/12/2005				EXAMINER	
Fisher Christer Suite 1108	a & Sabol				
1725 K Street NW				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, D	C 20006				

DATE MAILED: 05/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

## Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37) Application No. 10/083,110 Examiner Monique R Jackson Applicant(s) JUD ET AL. Art Unit 1773

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>18 February 2005</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. **EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136**.

- 1. The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
- 2. The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed or confirmed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
- 3. At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
- 4. (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
- 5. The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))
- 6. The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
- 7. The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
- 8. The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
- 9. The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
- 10. ☐ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

Item 1: it is noted that the headings are improper. Item 2: the first sentence in this section appears to be incomplete and hence the section does not state whether the amendment was entered or not. Items 4-6: appear to be addressed in the former format under the old headings and should be corrected to conform to current requirements. Items 8-9: though no information may be available for these two appendices, a separate sheet labeled for each should be included indicating no evidence was submitted and/or no decisions were rendered. Section "(9) Misc." of the appeal brief refers to an "appealable objection" however there are no objections pending in the case.

Monique R. Jackson

Primary Examiner, TC 1700

May 4, 2005

<sup>--</sup>The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--